

# Compositional Treatment of Quantification

Pascal Amsili

Sorbonne Nouvelle & Lattice (CNRS/ENS/SN)

Cogmaster, 2022/23

# Outscoping and ambiguity

- (1) a. Every student received a paper to read.  
*Scope interaction congruent with syntax*  
$$\forall x (Sx \rightarrow \exists y (Py \wedge Rxy))$$
- b. Each newcomer has to take an exam.  
*Scope ambiguity*  
$$\forall x (Nx \rightarrow \exists y (Ey \wedge Txy))$$
  
$$\exists y (Ey \wedge \forall x (Nx \rightarrow Txy))$$
- c. There is a label next to each plate.  
*Incongruent scope interaction*  
$$\forall y (Py \rightarrow \exists x (Lx \wedge Nxy))$$

→ The Syntax/semantic interface has to account for this

# Interaction with negation

- (2) a. All my guests didn't come. *in situ* :  $\forall\neg$   
b. Tous mes invités ne sont pas venus *inverse scope* :  $\neg\forall$

- (3) a. All that glitters is not gold.  
b. Tout ce qui brille n'est pas or.

- (4) a. Nicht alles, was glänzt, ist Gold.  
b. Alle politiker sind nicht korrupt. *(Büring 1997)*

- (5) a. Chaque âge n'a pas son Homère. *(Diderot)*

**Other ( $\approx$  inverse) phenomenon : neg-raising** *(Klima, Prince)*

- (6) a. Tu ne dois pas jouer avec la porte.  
~~It is not the case that you must play with the door~~  
You must (not play with the door)  
b. I don't think it will rain today.  
I think it will not rain today.

# Donkey sentences

- (7)
  - a. If Suzie has an accountant, she is rich.
  - b. If a women has an accountant, she is rich.
  - c. Every women who has an accountant is rich.
  
- (8)
  - a. If Pedro owns a donkey, he beats it.
  - b. If a farmer owns a donkey, they beat it.
  - c. Every farmer who owns a donkey beats it.